SOME ASPECTS OF HUMANISM.

(1) Studies in Humanism. By Dr. F. C. S. Schiller. Pp. xvii+492. (London: Macmillan and Co., Ltd., 1907.) Price 10s. net.

(2) Lectures on Humanism. By Prof J. S. Mackenzie. (The Ethical Library.) Prof Vii+243. (London: Swan Sonnenschein and Co., Ltd., 1907.) Price 4s. 6d.

IKK the routh in "Excelsior," Mr. Schiller has a strange device upon his banner, for his motto is / Back to Protagoras." But it is on no solitary or hopeless enterprise that he is engaged, for do not all the most fruitful developments of present-day philosophy point to Pragmatism, and have not all the sages of all times, when they were talking sense, been talking Pragmatism without knowing it? Kant, of course, was of us when he gave primacy to the practical reason and when he announced as his main principle that reality is largely of our making. Even Plato, who here suffers many hard knocks, is perhaps not so complete an intellectualist as he is generally thought, if Prof. Stewart's theory can be substantiated,

"that the so-called Socratic dialogues, so far from being scientifically negligible, are really essential to the complete statement of the Ideal Theory, and should be taken as exemplifying the function of the Concept in use, and as supplementing the account of the abstract concept given in the dogmatic dialogues, on which alone the traditional descriptions of Platonism have been based.'

But after all it is to the strangely misunderstood Protagoras, and his principle that man is the measure of all things, that the world owes most. If only we had his complete works and not fragments-and not Plato's caricature of his philosophy! Then many things would have happened; among others we should not have had the amusing dialogues (containing a prophetic reference to the scholars from Rhodes) which Mr. Schiller has "translated from the Greek" to fill up the gaps in our knowledge.

So it is Intellectualism in all its forms, Platonism, Hegelianism, and that tyrant who has oppressed us so many years-Absolutism-that Mr. Schiller wishes to dethrone. His criticism is always well worth reading. On the other hand, his own system contains not a few features which will give many pause-a God who is essentially finite; a reality which is always incomplete and plastic, in which laws of nature are merely the habits in which things behave; an idea of truth which involves the almost hylozoistic position that inanimate bodies know us in some sense (on the level of their understanding) when we operate upon them. The dust of controversy which in this volume beclouds the battlefield will have to settle before it can be decided where most of the truth lies. One wonders-it is genuine Pragmatism to know results before one states principles-what the issue will be; whether the lively Troglodyte of three decades from this will be engaged in proving that new Humanism is but old Absolutism grown more dogmatic and arrogant, or the neo-Absolutist of the period in demonstrating that Absolutism and last resort to see that the science of geometry is the

Humanism are both partial aspects reconciled in a higher unity.

About half the essays contained in this volume have already appeared in a shorter form in various periodicals. But most of the constructive part is new, and the work does not suffer from the manifoldness of the relations in which Humanism is regarded. Certainly, if to be incisive is to be convincing, Mr. Schiller has proved his case.

(2) This volume, containing the Dunkin lectures on sociology, delivered last year at Manchester College, Oxford, runs on very different lines from Mr. Schiller's. No doubt there is the same effort to show how much of Humanism lies implicit in a large range of philosophic works; but as it does not seek to prove a thesis, this book is not written, with the same verve and passion. We have sober grey in grey, and never an attempt to bring out violent contrasts. Naturally, Mr. Schiller's Humanism is for Mr. Mackenzie only Pragmatism, but in the few paragraphs devoted to it it receives only reasonable criticism.

Prof. Mackenzie's own Humanism is described as "a point of view from which human life is regarded as an independent centre of interest "-as contrasted with a Naturalism and Supernaturalism which seek the explanation of human life either in the forces around man or in some powers distinct from man and those forces.

In the light of that description the influence of Humanism in philosophy, politics, economics, education, and religion is studied, and the two closing chapters examine the limitations and implications of Humanism. Prof. Mackenzie fears that the style of treatment may be regarded as sketchy; sketchy it is, and the title of the volume perhaps induces expectations that are not realised; but undeniably the work has substantial merits.

OUR BOOK SHELF.

Euclid's Parallel Postulate: its Nature, Validity, and

Euclid's Parallel Postulate: its Nature, Validity, and Place in Geometrical Systems. By Dr. J. W. Withers. Pp. x+192. (Chirago: Open Court Publishing Co.; London; Kegan Paul and Co., Ltd., 1905.) Price 4s dd. net.

This is a philosophical thesis by a writer who is really familiar with the subject of non-Euclidean geometry, and as such it is well worth reading. The first three chapters at distorical; the remaining three deal with the psychological and netaphysical aspects of the problem; finally, there is a bibliography of fifteen pages. Mr. Withers's critique, on the whole, is quite sound, although there are a few passages either vague sound, although there are a few passages either vague or disputable. For instance (pp. 80-1): "Had man's spatial experience been confined to vision alone, the struggle between Euclid and Lobatchewsky could never have been, since for vision alone there are no such things as parallel lines." This is not convincing, and the sentence that follows does not add to the force of the argument. As a matter of fact, lines that we see apparently change their inclination as we change our point of view, and diverging lines looked at in a proper direction might very well arouse the concept of parallel lines. And however freely we admit the part taken by sensation in the development of geometrical ideas, we are compelled in the

result of a free intellectual construction. Naturally we choose a scheme that with the simplest assumptions harmonises with the greatest number of facts; this is the reason why Euclidean geometry is that of common life. As Mr. Withers points out, there is very little chance of any change in this respect; for if a series of careful experiments upon the stars were to lead to triangles with the sum of their angles different from 180°, we should be more likely to give up the hypothesis of the strictly rectilinear propagation of light than to adopt a non-Euclidean geometry as that of "actual space." On the other hand, we may some time gain experience of a new kind, presenting itself as spatial, and requiring us to assume more than three dimensions in space.

Mr. Withers sensibly steers a middle course between the extremes of pure empiricism and the a priori hypothesis. That we cannot form any clear conception of four-dimensional space to which three-dimensional space is related in a manner strictly analogous to that in which a line is related to a plane in which it lies, shows clearly enough that we cannot do without experience; on the other hand, the definition of a surface as a boundary between two adjacent portions of space involves a concept which cannot possibly be deduced from sense-experience, and the recent theory of sets of points gives still more striking examples. Mr. Withers's main contention is that Euclid's parallel postulate is empirical, and this may be admitted in the sense that his argument requires; at any rate, he shows the absurdity of some statements of the a priori school.

Die Reizleitungsvorgänge bei den Pflanzen. By Dr. H. Fitting. Pp. xv+157. (Wiesbaden: J. F. Bergmann, 1907.) Price 3.60 marks.

Although the phenomena of irritability in plants are in a general way easily demonstrated, their elucidation is a matter of extreme difficulty, and in spite of the numerous of girel experiments that have been devised absolute proof in support of the explanations offered is rafely possible. A notable instance is furnished by the debated question whether the perception of the stimulus of gravity is localised in the tip of the root. The experiments advanced by Charles Darwin in favour of this view were speedily disputed; Czapek's ingenious glass-shoe experiments, although widely accepted as proof, have been adversely criticised, and now more definite proof is hoped to be obtainable by growing seedlings on a rapidly revolving klinostat in such a position that the tip and growing region situated on different sides of the centre of rotation are subjected to centrifugal force acting in opposite directions. The debatable character of the arguments is one hindrance to a study of the subject, to which is added the difficulty of obtaining the literature, scattered as it is through numerous journals and pamphlets.

Dr. Fitting's monograph helps but little in the matter of literature, as in many cases space does not even permit of stating the arguments put forward by investigators, but as a critical guide to the estimation of the various theories his book will be found very useful.

The book consists of three portions, dealing with the occurrence of the phenomena, the path of transmission of the stimulus, and the manner in which it is transmitted. Owing to the absence of descriptions, the first part is only suitable to the reader who has a full acquaintance with the subject or is prepared to look up the literature. The discussions of the various paths by which the stimulus may travel and of the mechanism involved are the most instructive parts of the book, and particular interest attaches to

the sections on protoplasmic communications, on the fibrillar structure in cells of the root-apex, and on the electrical phenomena connected with stimulation. Dr. Fitting has himself added materially to the facts of irritability phenomena and their interpretation, so that his opinions are extremely valuable, the more so because he is a searching but unbiased critic.

Birds and their Nests and Eggs found in and near Great Towns. By G. H. Vos. Pp. xii+148; illustrated. (London: G. Routledge and Sons, Ltd., n.d.) Price 1s.

To take birds' nests and their contents with the camera is in every way a more satisfactory proceeding than egg collecting, and if the author of this little volume succeed in many the new movement he ought to obtain the gratitude of all bird-lovers—not to mention the birds themselves. Two things are essential in this pursuit: first, the capacity of "spotting" nests, which seems to be an inborn art, incapable of being acquired otherwise, and, secondly, skill in manipulating the camera. In the latter accomplishment the author excels, but for the former he has had to depend on a friend; and the combination of forces has produced most satisfactory results.

has produced most satisfactory results.

The numerous photographs of nests and eggs in their natural sites are all that can be desired, and as regards these no encomiums are too high. We wish we could say the same with regard to the photographs of the parent birds, which, we are told, are taken from "characteristically stuffed typical individuals placed in natural surroundings, illustrating as nearly as possible the conditions under which they were observed." In our opinion these "faked" photographs are thoroughly unsatisfactory, the birds being obviously stuffed (whether "characteristically," in the sense in which the author evidently uses the term, or otherwise), and appearing ill at ease in their pseudo-natural surroundings. The book would be far better without them. As the nests, which include those of a considerable number of species, were all observed within a radius of sixteen miles from the City, beginners whose homes are in large towns need not be deterred by lack of material from following in the footsteps of the author, to whom amateur photographers in general are in-debted for showing how much can be done at a comparatively small expenditure of time and money.

Kinship Organisations and Group Marriage in Australia. By Northcote W. Thomas. Pp. xvi+164. (Cambridge: University Press, 1966.) Price 6s. net. Mr. Thomas has digested everything that has been written on the Australia natives. In this volume he gives us a very useful résumé of all the facts of their complex social organisation, separating the attested from the doubtful—a much-needed piece of work. The account is interwoven with Mr. Lang's theory of Australian binchip and prepriets avoiding problems. tralian kinship and marriage evolution, perhaps the most plausible hitherto advanced, though in the matter of totemic origins it may encounter opposition. Mr. Thomas suggests some real improvements in terminology—matrilocal instead of beena, matrilinear and patrilinear, matripotestal and patripotestal -- these should be generally adopted, as no doubt they will. The author seems to be at his best in the discussion of such a vexed question as group marriage; the argument is closely reasoned, and brings out several new points. There is an excellent index. The book will be indispensable to anthropologists, and sociologists generally will find it an admirable and convenient text-book for the study of the beginnings of social organisation.

A. E. CRAWLEY.